PharmLabs San Diego Certificate of Analysis

3421 Hancock St, Second Floor, San Diego, CA 92110 | License: C8-0000098-LIC ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Certification L17-427-1 | Accreditation #85368



Sample Bestest Buds - Cereal Milk

| Sample ID SD221020-006 (53808) | | Matrix Concentrate (Inhalable Cannabis Go | od) | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Distributor License 604034860 | Address | s 1 Vanderbilt, Irvine CA, 92618 | | Name Savage Enterprises | | | | |
| Sampled - | Received Oct 19, 2022 | | Reported Oct 22, 2022 | | | | | |
| Assistant Annual CANV DEC MINIC MED DEC LIME FOR | | | | | | | | |

Laboratory note: The estimated concentration of the unknown peak in the sample is 10.30% | Currently PharmLabs laboratory can not confirm an unidentified peak in your chromatogram due to interference (only with highly concentrated D8 products) from which we believe to be either (+)48-THC or 49-THC. At this time there are no reference standards available for (+)48-THC (+)48-THC is a different compound from the main (-)48-THC cannobinoid and, therefore, these two compounds may have different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available, the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC is an 49-THC is a different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available, the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC and 49-THC is a different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available, the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC is a different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available in the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC and 49-THC is a different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available for the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC and 49-THC is a different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available for the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC and 49-THC is a different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available for the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC and 49-THC is a different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available for the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC and 49-THC and 49-THC is a different efficacies. Using the most advanced instruments and techniques available for the separation of (+)48-THC and 49-THC and 49-THC

CANX - Cannabinoids Analysis

Analyzed Oct 21, 2022 | Instrument HLPC

Measurement Uncertainty at 95% confidence**7.806**% LOD mg/g LOQ mg/g Result mg/g Result 11-Hydroxy- Δ 8-Tetrahydrocannabivarin (11-Hyd- Δ 8-THCV) 0.013 0.041 ND ND Cannabidiorcin (CBDO) 0.002 0.007 ND ND Abnormal Cannabidiorcin (a-CBDO) 0.01 0.031 ND ND (+/-)-9B-hydroxy-Hexahydrocannibinol (9b-HHC) 0.012 0.036 ND ND 11-Hydroxy- Δ 8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (11-Hyd- Δ 8-THCV) 0.007 0.021 ND ND Cannabidiolic Acid (CBDA) 0.001 0.16 ND ND Cannabigerol Acid (CBGA) 0.001 0.16 ND ND Cannabigerol (CBG) 0.001 0.16 ND ND Cannabidiol (CBD) 0.001 0.16 ND ND 1(S)-THD (s-THD) 0.013 0.041 3.26 32.62 1(R)-THD (r-THD) 0.025 0.075 7.59 75.90 Tetrahudrocannabivarin (THCV) 0.001 0.16 ND ND Δ8-tetrahydrocannabivarin (Δ8-THCV) 0.021 0.064 ND ND ND Tetrahydrocannabutol (Δ9-THCB) 0.013 0.038 ND 1.14 Cannabinol (CBN) 0.001 0.16 0.11 exo-THC (exo-THC) ND 0.016 8.0 ND Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THC) $\Delta 8$ -tetrahydrocannabinol ($\Delta 8$ -THC) 0.004 73.97 739.65 (6aR,9S)- Δ 10-Tetrahydrocannabinol ((6aR,9S)- Δ 10) Hexahydrocannabinol (S Isomer) (9s-HHC) ND (6aR,9R)- Δ 10-Tetrahydrocannabinol ((6aR,9R)- Δ 10) 0.007 Hexahydrocannabinol (R Isomer) (9r-HHC) 0.016 0.16 ND ND Tetrahydrocannabinolic Acid (THCA) 0.001 0.16 ND Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabihexol (Δ9-THCH) 0.024 0.071 ND ND Cannabinol Acetate (CBNO) 0.014 0.043 ND ND Δ9-Tetrahydrocannabiphorol (Δ9-THCP) 0.017 0.16 ND ND $\Delta 8$ -Tetrahydrocannabiphorol ($\Delta 8$ -THCP) 0.041 0.16 ND ND Δ8-THC-O-acetate (Δ8-THCO) 0.076 0.16 ND ND 9(S)-HHCP (s-HHCP) 0.031 0.094 ND ND Δ9-THC-O-acetate (Δ9-THCO) 0.066 0.16 ND ND 9(R)-HHCP (r-HHCP) 0.026 0.079 ND ND 3-octyl- Δ 8-Tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ 8-THC-C8) 0.067 0.204 ND ND Total THC (THCa * 0.877 + A9THC) ND ND Total THC + Δ8THC + Δ10THC (THCa * 0.877 + Δ9THC + Δ8THC + Δ10THC) 73.97 739.65 Total CBD (CBDa * 0.877 + CBD) ND ND ND

HME - Heavy Metals Detection Analysis

Analyzed Oct 22, 2022 | Instrument ICP/MSMS | Method SOP-005

| Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g | Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Arsenic (As) | 0.0002 | 0.05 | ND | 0.2 | Cadmium (Cd) | 3.0e-05 | 0.05 | ND | 0.2 |
| Mercury (Hg) | 1.0e-05 | 0.01 | ND | 0.1 | Lead (Pb) | 1.0e-05 | 0.125 | ND | 0.5 |

MIBIG - Microbial Testing Analysis

| Analysis oct 21, 2022 mist official quantum | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Analyte | Result CFU/g | Limit | Analyte | Result CFU/g | Limit | | | | |
| Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia Coli | ND | ND per 1 gram | Salmonella spp. | ND | ND per 1 gram | | | | |
| Aspergillus fumigatus | ND | ND per 1 gram | Aspergillus flavus | ND | ND per 1 gram | | | | |
| Asperaillus niger | ND | ND per 1 gram | Asperaillus terreus | ND | ND per 1 gram | | | | |

UI Not Identified ND Not Detected N/A Not Applicable NT Not Reported LOD Limit of Detection LOQ Limit of Quantification cLOQ Detected >ULOL Above upper limit of <LOQ Detected
>ULOL Above upper limit of linearity
CFU/g Colony Forming Units per 1 gram
TNTC Too Numerous to Count

Total CBG (CBGa * 0.877 + CBG)

Total HHC (9r-HHC + 9s-HHC)

Total Cannabinoids









Authorized Signature

ND

ND

84.93

ND

849.32

Brandon Starr



MTO - Mycotoxin Testing Analysis

Analyzed Oct 21, 2022 | Instrument LC/MSMS | Method SOP-004

| Analyte | LOD ug/kg | LOQ ug/kg | Result ug/kg (ppb) | Limit ug/kg | Analyte | LOD ug/kg | LOQ ug/kg | Result ug/kg (ppb) | Limit ug/kg |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Ochratoxin A | 5.0 | 20.0 | ND | 20 | Aflatoxin B1 | 2.5 | 5.0 | ND | - |
| Aflatoxin B2 | 2.5 | 5.0 | ND | - | Aflatoxin G1 | 2.5 | 5.0 | ND | - |
| Aflatoxin G2 | 2.5 | 5.0 | ND | - | Total Aflatoxins | 10.0 | 20.0 | ND | 20 |

UI Not Identified
ND Not Detected
N/A Not Applicable
NT Not Reported
LOD Limit of Detection
LOQ Limit of Quantification
<LOQ Detected
>ULOL Above upper limit of linearity
CFU/g Colonyl Forming Units per 1 gram
TNTC Too Numerous to Count









Authorized Signature

Brandon Starr

Brandon Starr, Lab Manager Sat, 22 Oct 2022 15:25:46 -0700



PES - Pesticides Screening Analysis

Analyzed Oct 21, 2022 | Instrument LC/MSMS GC/MSMS | Method SOP-003

| Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g | Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Aldicarb | 0.0078 | 0.02 | ND | 0.0078 | Carbofuran | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Dimethoate | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 | Etofenprox | 0.02 | 0.1 | ND | 0.02 |
| Fenoxycarb | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 | Thiachloprid | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Daminozide | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.01 | Dichlorvos | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.02 |
| Imazalil | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.02 | Methiocarb | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Spiroxamine | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 | Coumaphos | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Fipronil | 0.01 | 0.1 | ND | 0.01 | Paclobutrazol | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.01 |
| Chlorpyrifos | 0.01 | 0.04 | ND | 0.01 | Ethoprophos (Prophos) | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 |
| Baygon (Propoxur) | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.01 | Chlordane | 0.04 | 0.1 | ND | 0.04 |
| Chlorfenapyr | 0.03 | 0.1 | ND | 0.03 | Methyl Parathion | 0.02 | 0.1 | ND | 0.02 |
| Mevinphos | 0.03 | 0.08 | ND | 0.03 | Abamectin | 0.03 | 0.08 | ND | 0.1 |
| Acephate | 0.02 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 | Acetamiprid | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 |
| Azoxystrobin | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 | Bifenazate | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 |
| Bifenthrin | 0.02 | 0.35 | ND | 3 | Boscalid | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.1 |
| Carbaryl | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.5 | Chlorantraniliprole | 0.01 | 0.04 | ND | 10 |
| Clofentezine | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.1 | Diazinon | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Dimethomorph | 0.02 | 0.06 | ND | 2 | Etoxazole | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 |
| Fenpyroximate | 0.02 | 0.1 | ND | 0.1 | Flonicamid | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Fludioxonil | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 | Hexythiazox | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.1 |
| Imidacloprid | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 5 | Kresoxim-methyl | 0.01 | 0.03 | ND | 0.1 |
| Malathion | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.5 | Metalaxyl | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 2 |
| Methomyl | 0.02 | 0.05 | ND | 1 | Myclobutanil | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.1 |
| Naled | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 | Oxamyl | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.5 |
| Permethrin | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.5 | Phosmet | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Piperonyl Butoxide | 0.02 | 0.06 | ND | 3 | Propiconazole | 0.03 | 0.08 | ND | 0.1 |
| Prallethrin | 0.02 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 | Pyrethrin | 0.05 | 0.41 | ND | 0.5 |
| Pyridaben | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.1 | Spinosad A | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 |
| Spinosad D | 0.01 | 0.05 | ND | 0.1 | Spiromesifen | 0.02 | 0.06 | ND | 0.1 |
| Spirotetramat | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 | Tebuconazole | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Thiamethoxam | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 5 | Trifloxystrobin | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.1 |
| Acequinocyl | 0.02 | 0.09 | ND | 0.1 | Captan | 0.01 | 0.02 | ND | 0.7 |
| Cypermethrin | 0.02 | 0.1 | ND | 1 | Cyfluthrin | 0.04 | 0.1 | ND | 2 |
| Fenhexamid | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.1 | Spinetoram J,L | 0.02 | 0.07 | ND | 0.1 |
| Pentachloronitrobenzene | 0.01 | 0.1 | ND | 0.1 | · | | | | |

RES - Residual Solvents Testing Analysis

Analyzed Oct 21, 2022 | Instrument GC/FID with Headspace Analyzer | Method SOP-006

| Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g | Analyte | LOD ug/g | LOQ ug/g | Result ug/g | Limit ug/g |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|---------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Propane (Prop) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 5000 | Butane (But) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 5000 |
| Methanol (Metha) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 3000 | Ethylene Oxide (EthOx) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | 1 |
| Pentane (Pen) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 5000 | Ethanol (Ethan) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 5000 |
| Ethyl Ether (EthEt) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 5000 | Acetone (Acet) | 0.4 | 40.0 | 50.3 | 5000 |
| Isopropanol (2-Pro) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 5000 | Acetonitrile (Acetonit) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 410 |
| Methylene Chloride (MetCh) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | 1 | Hexane (Hex) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 290 |
| Ethyl Acetate (EthAc) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 5000 | Chloroform (Clo) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | 1 |
| Benzene (Ben) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | 1 | 1-2-Dichloroethane (12-Dich) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | 1 |
| Heptane (Hep) | 0.4 | 40.0 | <loq< td=""><td>5000</td><td>Trichloroethylene (TriClEth)</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.8</td><td>ND</td><td>1</td></loq<> | 5000 | Trichloroethylene (TriClEth) | 0.4 | 0.8 | ND | 1 |
| Toluene (Toluene) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 890 | Xulenes (Xul) | 0.4 | 40.0 | ND | 2170 |

FVI - Filth & Foreign Material Inspection Analysis

Analyzed Oct 19, 2022 | Instrument Microscope | Method SOP-010

| Analyte / Limit | Result | Analyte / Limit | Result |
|--|--------|---|--------|
| > 1/4 of the total sample area covered by sand, soil, cinders, or dirt | ND | > 1/4 of the total sample area covered by mold | ND |
| > 1 insect fragment, 1 hair, or 1 count mammalian excreta per 3q | ND | > 1/4 of the total sample area covered by an imbedded foreign material | ND |

UI Not Identified
ND Not Detected
N/A Not Applicable
NT Not Reported
LOD Limit of Detection
LOQ Limit of Operation
LOQ Detected
SULOL Above upper limit of linearity
CFU/g Colony Forming Units per 1 gram
TNTC Too Numerous to Count









Authorized Signature

Brandon Starr

Brandon Starr, Lab Manager Sat, 22 Oct 2022 15:25:46 -0700



